

Reforming and SOFC system concept with electrical efficiencies higher than 50 %

Dr. Christian Spitta, Carsten Spieker, Prof. Angelika Heinzel

B1102, 10th European SOFC Forum 2012, Lucerne

ZBT GmbH Carl-Benz-Straße 201 47057 Duisburg Germany

Telefon: +49-203-7598-4277 Telefax: +49-203-7598 2222 www.zbt-duisburg.de c.spitta@zbt-duisburg.de





- Introduction
- SOFC system and reforming concepts
- Simulations
- Reactor design
- Experimental results
- Conclusion and outlook



Motivation

- High efficient CHP systems play key role in future energy supply
- Possible fuels: Natural gas, LPG or Biogas
- Reducing heat demand especially for residential applications
- SOFC technology has potential for high efficiencies and high CHP coefficients
 - Reduction of carbon footprint
 - System operation even at low heat demand





Challenges

- High el. efficiency (comparable to complete internal reforming) leading to continuous operation
- Simple and robust design

Approach

- Recuperating SOFC waste heat
- External steam reforming
- Separate reformer and adiabatic burner
- Recirculation of AOG (with compressor or injector)

Advantages

- No additional heat/fuel for reforming necessary
- High flexibility in geometries and packaging concepts
- Reduction of thermal stresses
- No external water supply





Configuration

Depending on ability of internal reforming in the SOFC

Case 2 Case 1 Case 1 High internal conversion possible Exhaust gas Burner < > Reformer: adiabatic reactor > Reforming temperature: 450-550 °C ↑ COG AOG > Less cathode air for cooling required Re-Fuel SOFC former Recirculation device Power Recuperator Air supply Heat supply Exhaust gas 5



Configuration

Depending on ability of internal reforming in the SOFC



Case 2

- Low internal conversion possible
- Reformer: tube bundle reformer
 convectively heated by the exhaust gas
- > Reforming temperature: 650-750 °C
- > More cathode air for cooling required



Simulation of case 1: adiabatic reformer fed with natural gas

Boundary conditions

• P_{el,gross} = 1 kW

Case 1

- Fuel: Natural gas
- $\eta_{SOFC,el}$ = 50 %
- Q_{SOFC,loss} = 100 W

SOFC

- T = 850 °C
- Methane reformed @ TSOFC
- than FU_{CH4} = 100 %
- FU_{H2,CO} = 80 85 %
- T_{Cat,air,in} = 650 °C
- V_{Cat,air} depending on heat balance







Simulation of case 1: adiabatic reformer fed with natural gas



- Heat for reforming & preheating of anode inlet gas in SOFC ≈ const.
- > $\lambda_{cat} \approx const. \approx 2.5 / 2.7$ (referred to supplied reformate gas)
- With increasing recirculation ratio
 - T_{Ref,inlet} increases up to 722 °C
 - T_{Burner,ad} decreases down to 875 / 857 °C
 - $\eta_{\text{el,gross}}$ increases up to 61 / 62 %



Simulation of case 2: convectively heated reformer fed with LPG

Boundary conditions

P_{el,gross} = 1 kW

Case 2

- Fuel: Propane
- $\eta_{SOFC,el}$ = 53 %
- Q_{SOFC,loss} = 220 W

SOFC

- T = 850 °C
- Methane reformed @ TSOFC
- than FU_{CH4} = 100 %
- FU_{H2,CO} = 80 85 %
- T_{Cat,air,in} = 650 °C
- V_{Cat.air} depending on heat balance





- No carbon formation for recirculation ratios > 6 and T_{Ref} ≥ 725
- Corresponding to $x_{\text{CH4}} \leq 1,7 \; / \; 1,5 \; \%$



Simulation of case 2: convectively heated reformer fed with LPG





- With increasing recirculation ratio $\,\eta_{\text{el,gross}}$ increases up to 61 / 63 %
- Adiabatic burner temperature is < 900 °C



Restrictions

- Simple construction
- Low thermal stresses
- Sufficient surfaces for heat transfer: exhaust gas => reforming zone
- Big difference of flow rates of reformer reactants and exhaust gas
- Pressure drop \leq 5 mbar (for both media)
- Catalysts geometries and GHSV
- Short connections to SOFC
- Separate reactors
 - > Reformer: tube bundle reactor
 - > Burner: adiabatic reactor
- The geometries were designed based on the vdi method for pressure drop und heat transfer calculations.



Reactor design (case 2)

Z B



Experimental results (case 2)



Reformate gas concentrations / mol-%dry 45 therm dyn Eq. 40 Measured data 35 30 25 20 15 10 5 0 CO2 CH4 CO H2

Test parameters

- Recirculation ratio = 8,5
- FU = 85 %

B

- T_{Ref} = 650 °C
- Add. H2/CO fed to burner

Results

- Burner in- and outlet temperatures 100 K to low
- $\Delta T_{Burner} \approx 50$ K reached due to add. H2/CO
- Good heat transfer in tube bundle reactor
- Measured concentrations deviate from th. dyn. equilibrium
- Low pressure drops in reformer and burner



Conclusion

- Presentation of 2 reformer and SOFC system configurations with el. power output of 1 kW
 - Case 1: adiabatic reformer (fuel: natural gas)
 - Case 2: tube bundle reformer reactor convectively heated by the exhaust gas (fuel: propane)
- Simulation show for both configurations
 - Gross electrical system efficiencies > 60 %
 - Carbon formation free reforming @ recirculation ratio \geq 6,0
 - Depending on FU (\geq 80 %)
 - And for case 2 depending reformer temperature (≥ 725 °C)
- Reactor was designed based on the vdi method for pressure drop und heat transfer calculations
- Tests of tube bundle reformer reactor with adiabatic burner (case 2, fuel: propane) show
 - Need for improved insulation of connections and reactors
 - Good heat transfer from exhaust gas to reformer
 - Low pressure drop in reformer and burner

Outlook

- Further detailed measurement data will be presented in the near future







Part of the work was financed with funds of the German "Federal Ministry of Economics and Technology" (BMWi) and is gratefully acknowledged (IGF-project no. 16638 N) Thanks to the project partners:

- ZBT GmbH (thermo dynamic system simulations, reformer module, system design and test)
- CUTEC GmbH (Injector development, SOFC characterization, system set up and test)
- IWBT (dynamic process simulations)
- IEE (Control)



Thank you for your attention and what about collaboration?

Dr. Christian Spitta ZBT GmbH Carl-Benz-Straße 201 47057 Duisburg Germany

Telefon: +49-203-7598-4277 Telefax: +49-203-7598 2222 www.zbt-duisburg.de c.spitta@zbt-duisburg.de